

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,638	09/16/2003	Peter L. Bakos	03023256	1908
26565 75	90 03/09/2006		EXAMINER	
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP			LEWIS, BEN	
P.O. BOX 2828 CHICAGO, IL 60690-2828			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summans	10/663,638	BAKOS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
:	Ben Lewis	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed 4S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
·— : · · ·							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application		:					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	:					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 19-22</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 19-22</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2005</u> is/a							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		:					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action of form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		; ;					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eceived in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.					
Attachment(s)	_	:					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/7/05. 	C	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
TO Develop Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 7th, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1,2,8,20 and 22 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ratte et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,902,095 B2) and further in view of Williamson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,553,764).

With respect to claims 1, 20 and 22, Ratte et al discloses a method of cold forming a two-part battery terminal and a two-part cold formed battery terminal comprising a cold formed lead or lead alloy slug having a male fastener protruding from one side of the cold formed slug with a head portion of the fastener rotationally retained

Art Unit: 1745

and embedded in the battery terminal by cold formed lead or lead alloy around the end face of the fastener (Col 1 lines 45-51) (See Fig. 5). Ratte et al does not specifically teach a bolt wherein the sealing portion is tapered. However, Williamson teach a tranvsversy hydraulic coupling with lipped port wherein Collar 430 has external tapered surfaces 431 and 432 which sealingly engage body 405 and port 401', respectively. External tapered surface 431 extends into body 405 to engage internal tapered surface 406. Also, external tapered surface 432 extends into port 401' to engage internal tapered surface 413 (Col 9 lines 64-67).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the tapered portion of Williamson et al into the bolt of Ratte et al because Williamson et al teach that external tapered surfaces **431** and **432** sealingly engage body **405** and port **401'** (Col 9 lines 64-67).

With respect to claims 19 and 21, Ratte et al discloses a method of cold forming a two-part battery terminal and a two-part cold formed battery terminal comprising a cold formed lead or lead alloy slug (subassembly) having a male fastener protruding from one side of the cold formed slug with a head portion of the fastener rotationally retained and embedded in the battery terminal by cold formed lead or lead alloy around the end face of the fastener (Col 1 lines 45-51) (See Fig. 5).

Art Unit: 1745

3. Claims 2-6 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ratte et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,902,095 B2) and Williamson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,553,764) as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Whitney (U.S. Patent No. 2,353,531).

Whitney teaches an integral washer 11 as seen in figure 1 which includes projection 12, and also wherein the washer is regarded as having semi-circular projections below the head flats as seen in figure 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a washer with the bolt head of Ratte et al. and Williamson et al, in view of the teaching of Whitney, the motivation being to strengthen the bolt head of Ratte et al. and Williamson et al. To form the head height to washer thickness of Ratte et al. and Williamson et al as modified by Whitney to be 1 .24 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the ad. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

4. Claims 1, 7, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ratte et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,902,095 B2) and Williamson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,553,764) and further in view of Landgrebe (U.S. Patent No. 5,704,749).

Ratte et al. and Williamson et al has been discussed above. Landgrebe teaches a bolt 15 with a non-threaded portion 19 above the threaded portion 21, as well as a

sealing, tapered portion 17 which bottoms against a planar surface 35 of a recessed portion 33 of an internally threaded body (B) (Col 3 lines 50-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tapered 432 abutment of Ratte et al. and Williamson et al such that the tapered portion 432 abuts a planar surface of a recessed portion of the nut 303, in view of the teaching of Landgrebe, the motivation being to prevent over-torquing of the bolt 409 (See Figs 12 and 16).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ben Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-6481.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1745

Ben Lewis

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1745 PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6